

# CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO COUNCIL MINUTES

August 07, 2000

Regular Meeting

The regular meeting of Council was called to order by President Bennett at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, 4035 Broadway.

After a moment of silent prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance, roll was called and the following members were present:

*Chris Fulton Vaughn Radi Steve Bennett Budd Eversman Maria Klemack*

1. Mr. Eversman moved to dispense with the reading of the minutes for the previous meeting and approve as written; seconded by Mr. Fulton.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

2. President Bennett read the agenda items and they were approved by unanimous consent.

**The Chair recognized Mr. Radi, chairman of the Lands & Zoning Committee, for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under said committee.**

1. Ordinance C-52-00 (Rezone Lots 5 – 12, 91 and 92 of Castle Farms Subdivision located on Broadway, Ventura & Casa from C-2 & R-2 to SD-1) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Steve Bowshier, attorney for petitioner, explained that these lots were purchased in 1979 and 1998. There is an existing church on these properties and they would like to bring it into compliance with Grove City's zoning of SD-1 for continued use of public worship and parking.

Mr. Eversman asked if there are plans for, or currently, a school on the site. Mr. Bowshier said, to his knowledge, there is no school and he has seen no plans for one. Although, in 10 or 20 years, there may be. Mr. Radi asked if Lot 94 area would be used for parking. Mr. Bowshier said the immediate use would be for parking. He said there is talk about future expansion, but there is nothing currently drafted. Mr. Fulton asked Ms. Kelly, Clerk of Council, if the property owner of Lot 90 received notice of this. Ms. Kelly said yes, notice was sent to all contiguous property owners and no responses were received by her office.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

2. Ordinance C-53-00 (Approve an SD-1 Zoning Classification for Lots 93 & 94 located on Casa Blvd. Upon its Annexation to the City) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Steve Bowshier, attorney representing the petitioner, explained that these lots were purchased in 1998 to service the church for parking & drainage. He said the ultimate goal is to combine all the lots into one parcel.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Fulton.

Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes

3. Ordinance C-56-00 (Accept the Annexation of Lots 93 and 94 located on Casa Blvd.) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Steve Bowshier, attorney for petitioner, was present to answer any questions. There being none, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes

4. Ordinance C-57-00 (Accept the Annexation of 99.0± acres located on S.R. 665) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Joe Hull, attorney for petitioner, commented that this property is located on S.R. 665, just west of the Ruscilli property, recently annexed as PUD-I, and across the street from the County Landfill. They are looking to annex into the City and keep the same zoning as it now has in the Township, which is PID. The most comparable zoning in the City would be PUD-I and they believe that this is the most appropriate. As development comes later to this property, they anticipate it be comparable to what Ruscilli has done to the east. As development occurs, plans would have to be brought in for approval and the City would have serious impact and involvement with the type of development that would occur. They feel that the City would have the necessary controls on developing the site and requested that the annexation be approved with the PUD-I zoning.

President Bennett explained that any approval for this property with PUD-I zoning, Council anticipates some restrictions – especially on the northern property line. The City's park is on this northerly line and they need to maintain as tranquil a setting as possible, as well as any other sections that may be annexed. Mr. Hull said he has discussed this with his clients and they are aware of the City's interest for restrictions on the northerly end.

Mr. Eversman reiterated that the petitioner is requesting that the entire 99± acres be annexed with PUD-I zoning and voiced his objection to this. He has no objection to bringing in the southern portion of this property (approx. 75 acres) in as PUD-I, even though he is not crazy about adding more industrial to the City. However, due to its proximity to the Landfill, he feels it is appropriate. He does, however, have major objections to the northern portion (beyond creek). He said we do not have the ability to separate this into two (2) parcels and bring them in with two separate zoning classifications, which is his preference. He wanted the petitioner to understand that his concerns are for the northern portion, which adjoin residential. Mr. Hull stated that the creek might act as a financial, natural, buffer for development, once the floodplain status is determined for that portion of the property.

Mr. Fulton asked the Administration for a comment with regard to their position on this annexation. Mr. Stage, City Administrator, stated that they have worked with the petitioners actively on the annexation. They wanted them to join a prior annexation and be included in the expanded CRA district last August, which the petitioners declined to do. He said they feel that the zoning is correct and is an industrial setting. The land plan indicates that. The zoning in the Township is compatible with the zoning in, and around, that area. They were hoping to have some limiting commentary to go with the annexation. However, the petitioners are not willing to give any limiting commentary. Basically the zoning is right, but feel the commentary would have been helpful to the overall plan. Mr. Hull stated that the limiting commentary would, certainly, be a high point of discussion upon an application for a Development Plan. Mr. Stage agreed that when the first development plan comes in, there would be some commentary required. Mr. Hull stated that this is an unusual situation, in that they do not have a specific use planned and ready to go onto the tract. Once it is ready to be developed, restrictions can be considered. Mr. Eversman commented that Mr. Hull stated that a portion of this property might be prohibitive in developing industrial, or certain types of industrial, due to cost. He said this is another reason for his preference to split this ground into two lots and annexed with different zoning classifications. Mr. Hull said he feels that this can be accomplished with the development plans.

Mr. Radi commented that he would like to see this postponed so that the various parties could try to work something out on this. Mr. Clark, Dir. of Law, asked what the time frame was on the annexation. Mr. Hull said he is within the 120-day requirement.

There being no further discussion, Mr. Radi moved it be postponed until August 21, 2000; seconded by Mr. Fulton.

Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	No
Mr. Eversman	No

5. Ordinance C-61-00 (Approve a Special Use Permit for Automotive Services located at 4440 Broadway and declare an emergency) was given its first reading.

Mr. Matt LaBoune, attorney representing the petitioner, explained that his client would engage in engine repair services at the Broadway location. At the end of June, the petitioner was forced to leave their current location on Stringtown Road. They moved as expeditiously as

possible to get through Planning Commission and, while waiting to be heard before Council, contacted the contiguous property owners at the new location. The east side has a residence that is fairly, adequately screened by trees and fencing. Those residence' provided a letter not objection to this use. The property to the north is owned by Gary Northrup and he was sent a letter of explanation, indicating the date and time of the Council Meeting. Mr. Northrup stopped into the new location and expressed no objection to this use. All other adjacent property is owned by Larry Beavers, who is the land owner of this location and, he too, has no objections.

President Bennett commented that this building has not had a user occupy it yet and with regard to parking in and around the building, the original development plan did not address this. He said he wants to see striping for parking and handicapped spaced marked, as well as regulating traffic control and flow. Mr. LaBoune said, in general, the petitioner is planning for parking in front to be for customers and cars staying overnight would be parked inside the premises or around back. He said he assumes there would be some striping for directional flow. He said they have no problem meeting the City's needs. They will comply with the Code and work with the City to stripe the lot and supply the appropriate number of handicapped spaces. Mr. Fulton asked how the oil would be disposed of. Mr. Grim, owner, stated that he contracts with Englefield Oil, who comes once a week to claim the oil and take it away. This is the same service they had on Stringtown Road. Mr. Fulton confirmed that there are no EPA concerns. Mr. Grim confirmed there were not. President Bennett asked where the used oil would be located. Mr. Grim said it would be behind the building. President Bennett questioned the screening in this area. Mr. Grim said there was a three-foot retaining wall behind the building and trees along the wall. Mr. LaBoune commented that it is three feet on their side, but, the homes are lower and the wall is six feet on their side. Mr. Grim said they could add two courses to the wall and screen the drums completely. Mr. Stage said they would look at this when the striping was addressed. He said the north side is where some work may be necessary.

Mr. Stage commented that the petitioner is being displaced from his current location and is asking that this be approved as an emergency.

There being no further questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved that the Rules of Council be suspended and the Waiting Period waived; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

Mr. Radi moved this ordinance be approved as an emergency; seconded by Mr. Fulton.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

6. Ordinance C-62-00 (Accept the Plat of Gateway Business Park – West Campus, Phase 1 located on State Route 665) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000. Mr. Bill Tippman, petitioner, was present.
7. Resolution CR-47-00 (Approve the Final Development Plan for HHC Mechanical located at 3474 Park Street in the Historical Preservation Area) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mr. Don Pemberton, representing petitioner, was present to answer any questions. Mr. Radi reviewed the stipulations and asked if an executed lease with CSX has been complete. Mr. Pemberton said there were some stipulations in the paperwork with regard to some of the previous owners information and could not be completely executed. They did speak with CSX today and once the revision has been made, it will be signed. CSX sent a "letter of intent" to Mr. Stage today. Mr. Radi asked Mr. Stage if he felt this was sufficient. Mr. Stage said yes. The lease with the railroad is very extensive and understands the time it is taking to complete this transaction. Mr. Radi asked if the Urban Forester has approved the landscape plan. Mr. Lathrop, Urban Forester, stated that he has not seen the final revision of the landscape plan. Mr. Radi asked if the petitioner understood that this would have to be done. Mr. Stage said that this was a trade-off, and the landscaping needed around the dumpster(s) would be placed in front of the building instead. Mr. Pemberton said he thought the only revision was to eliminate the dumpster landscaping, since they were being placed on asphalt, in the parking lot. Adding landscaping would be very difficult and may not allow them to meet the required parking spaces. Mr. Radi asked Mr. Clark if this would need to go before the Board of Zoning Appeals. Mr. Clark said, in thinking out loud, it would seem appropriate for this to go before BZA for a variance to the landscape code. Mr. Radi continued with stipulation #3, regarding stormwater. Mr. said he has had a discussion with the City's consulting engineer on this. Stipulation #4, regarding the drive aisle and parking locations, has been revised on the drawings and all other stipulations were agreed to. President Bennett asked Mr. Kohman, City's Consulting Engineer, about the drainage for the site. Mr. Kohman stated that they thought there was a storm drain, but there is not. The existing ground surface is very similar to the proposed parking surface and the sheet drainage proposed will be all right, in this instance. He said it is already sheet draining today. Mr. Fulton confirmed that we did have revised drawings showing the correct ingress/egress. Mr. said yes.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes

8. Resolution CR-49-00 (Approve the final Development Plan for Gateway Business Park – West Campus, Phase 1 located on State Route 665) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mr. William Tippman, Ruscilli Development, was present. Mr. Eversman suggested that this be postponed until 8/21/00 so that it would be heard at the same time as the Plat. Mr. Tippman had no objection to this.

There being no further discussion, Mr. Eversman moved to postpone CR-49-00 to 8/21/2000; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes

9. Resolution CR-50-00 (Approve the final Development Plan for Applebee's Neighborhood Grill and Bar located on Stringtown Road) was given its reading and public hearing.

Ms. Pam Ratliff, Thomas & King, franchisee of Applebee's and will be the owner/operators. Mr. Radi reviewed the many stipulations set by Planning Commission and Ms. Ratliff agreed to all of them. As far as the lighting is concerned, she said they would be matching what Roadhouse Grill (next door) has. It is downcast, but they are unable to find a cut-sheet for it. With regard to stipulation #8, they agree to revise the radius into the property, as required by the City. Mr. Stage explained that they originally thought a de-acceleration lane could be installed, but realized it could not be done. They have now asked that the west side curb be revised. As for stipulation #9, they received a variance from the BZA on July 24, 2000 allowing them to eliminate the required landscape buffer, as submitted on the drawings. They did put in two end-islands for this parking area. With regard to signage, Ms. Ratliff confirmed that there would be no exposed neon or neon on the building. She did explain that they had received a variance for an additional sign on the east side of the property. Finally, regarding the seven additional trees, she explained that they were able to get six on their property and revised the landscape plan to address additional, seasonal flowerbeds. Mr. Lathrop confirmed that he has reviewed the revised plan and they do comply.

President Bennett asked if they had contacted the adjacent property owners for overflow parking, should it be needed. Ms. Ratliff said they have contacted the Medical Center and do have an agreement with them to allow for parking behind them. They have also talked about contacting Roadhouse to obtain an agreement to share parking by both parties. She said this is one thing that they have not received in writing yet. Mr. Stage commented that there are many cross-easements for parking on this property already. President Bennett asked that a copy of any written agreements Applebee's receives be given to the Clerk of Council for the record. Ms. Ratliff agreed. Mr. Fulton confirmed that we have a true rendering/revised plan for this project. Mr. Stage said yes. Mr. Fulton voiced concern over approving any development with so many stipulations. Mr. Radi confirmed that the setback for the building would be in line with Roadhouse Grill. Ms. Ratliff said it would be.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes

10. Resolution CR-51-00 (Set Forth the Municipal Services that can be furnished to 77.524 acres located at the northeast corner of Haughn and Orders Roads, upon its annexation to the City) was given its reading and public hearing.

There being no representation, Mr. Eversman moved it be postponed until 8/21/2000; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

11. Resolution CR-52-00 (Set Forth the Municipal Services that can be furnished to 0.459 acres located at 3582 Hoover Road, upon its annexation to the City) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mr. Joe Hull, attorney representing petitioner, was present and explained that this is an existing single-family residence and is surrounded on three sides by the City. It is coming in to have the availability of sewer and water services.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

**The Chair recognized Ms. Klemack, Chairman of the Safety Committee, for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under said committee.**

1. Ordinance C-30-00 (Amend Table 1135.12II of the Codified Ordinances relating to Maximum Height Requirements) was given its second reading and public hearing and Ms. Klemack moved it be withdrawn; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes

**Mr. Fulton, Chairman of the Service Committee, took the floor for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under the Finance committee.**

1. Ordinance C-58-00 (Authorize the City Administrator to enter into a Contract with the City of Columbus for Sewer Services) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Stage commented on this and Ord. C-59-00 (Water Contract). He said we have had a working contract with the City of Columbus for close to 30 years. He showed a drawing of the service area, since that time – with a few modifications. Over the last two years, he has been negotiating on the renewal of this contract. The first key point is that this is a 50 year contract, rather than a 25, at the request of Columbus. The area that is of primary concern to the community, from a strategic standpoint, is the area indicated as “A” on the map. In the preliminary approval of this contract, Columbus has granted an expansion area, labeled “B” on the map. This expansion area gives us some flexibility, in what has heretofore been a closed door in working with the City of Columbus. He is comfortable that we have been granted this Area “B”, because it gives us the ability to go south, to the County line, with their approval and consent. Likewise, Columbus can go to the Pickway County line, in their negotiations with Grove City. The only hesitancy on our part has been the desire to tighten up the language and have better control in the “B” area. Basically, it is the same contract as before. We do give up some revenue on the sewer side, because they phase out some revenue that we received. They do allow us to add an additional fee, but we have always been able to take our share off the top instead. Mr. Eversman asked about the property just north of Big Run Road, between Demorest and Holt, as to whether that was currently in our service area. Mr. Stage indicated that it was not in our service area and is not in the “B” area. President Bennett asked if there was any change in the cost of water purchased from Columbus. Mr. Stage indicated that they are allowed to pass on their cost to us. Mr. Behlen explained that the current contract allows Grove City to keep 2/3rds of the Sewer Tap Fee, with the remainder going to Columbus. This contract will progressively reduce that amount, over 30 years, until 100% goes to Columbus. Grove City currently has a usage charge for water and sewer. Mr. Kohman commented that the Administration has been working diligently on this contract. Other communities in central Ohio are passing similar agreements, almost verbatim.

Mr. Fulton asked Mr. Bobby, from South-Western City Schools, if he had any comments. Mr. Bobby commented that the section of Area “B”, which is west – between Holt and Young Roads, is in an area that can be annexed by either Grove City or Columbus. As he understands it, annexation of property in this area cannot be initiated by Grove City. Mr. Stage confirmed that we could not solicit annexations in this area. Mr. Bobby said, that with the development of three new schools across the street from this area, the School District believes it would be a good thing for those students to be serviced by a neighboring school. As the contract stands, if Columbus would annex any of that property, those students would go to Columbus Public Schools and be bussed across town. Mr. Eversman stated that, after hearing Mr. Bobby, he has concerns with Area “B” being mixed between Columbus schools and South Western schools. He asked Mr. Stage if the northeast corner of Big Run and Holt, where the three schools are being built, was tapped into the sewer line on Grove City’s side. Mr. Stage said yes. The City of Columbus authorized SWCS to tap into a line that was installed by the City of Grove City. Mr. Eversman confirmed that this was authorized even though the property is not within our service area. Mr. Stage said that was correct. Mr. Eversman asked about plans for road improvements in this area. Mr. Stage said they feel that the intersections of Demorest, Holt, and Southwest Blvd. on Big Run Road are all key intersections and they are working with SWCS, a land-owner, and Franklin County to be a participant in the widening of Big Run Road to Grove City’s specifications. Mr. Eversman said he has some concerns with the Water and Sewer agreements, because of the issues that have been brought up. He said he would definitely like to see Area “B” firmed up into an Area “A”, at least south, down to I-71. He would also like to have more consideration of



Columbus to include the school property, as well as the immediate residents on the north side of Big Run Road, next to the school property. He suggested that this be postponed in order to give Mr. Stage the opportunity to further discuss with Columbus the possibility of firming up Area "B" to the west into an Area "A", as well as the small portion north of Big Run - between Holt and Demorest. Mr. Stage said he would pursue that. He said Mr. Doult, Columbus Director of Public Utilities, was to be here this evening, but was unable due to an illness. He will be here on August 21, 2000 and Council will have their opportunity to discuss these issues with him then.

There being no additional question or comments, Mr. Eversman moved that this and Ordinance C-59-00 (Authorize the City Administrator to enter into a Contract with the City of Columbus for Water Services) be postponed until 8/21/2000; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes

2. Ordinance C-63-00 (Authorize the City Administrator to Execute the Central Ohio Health Care Consortium Joint Self-Insurance Agreement) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000.
3. Ordinance C-64-00 (Amend Part Nine of the Codified Ordinances of Grove City, Ohio to include a New Chapter 907 titled Rights of Way Administration) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000.

**Mr. Eversman, Chairman of the Finance Committee, took the floor for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under the Finance committee.**

1. Ordinance C-60-00 (Appropriate \$42,099.49 from the Sewer Fund for the Current Expense of Reimbursing the City of Columbus for Tap Fees Collected) was given its second reading and public hearing and Mr. Eversman moved it be approved; seconded by Ms. Klemack.

Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes

2. Ordinance C-65-00 (Appropriate \$7,068.00 from the DARE Fund for Current Program Expenses) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000.
3. Ordinance C-66-00 (Establish the Mayor's Court Computer Fund) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000.

4. Ordinance C-67-00 (Authorize the City Administrator to Execute a Grant Agreement with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources and Appropriate \$53,334.00 from the General Fund for the Current Expense of Improvements to Fryer Park) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on August 21, 2000.

**The Chair asked that any new business to be brought before the attention of Council be done so at this time.**

1. Mr. Ken Hoff, resident on Hickory Bend, voiced his opposition to Ordinance C-49-00, regarding parking on residential streets. He believes the ordinance paints one side of a picture and would like to paint the other side for Council, as he sees it. There are numerous reasons for having various vehicles on the street for, normally, short periods of time. He said many of the residents on his street are retired and have RV's that they bring in to load up the day before they leave. He has no problem with this. He believes that some people have taken exception and violated the prior ordinance, which he feels is more than adequate if enforced a little more. He feels that the amendment would harm many of the good things that go on in the community. He said in 1996, there were 34 million world-wide laws to improve on the Ten Commandments and he believes that this is 34,000,001.
2. Mr. Doug Frost, Liberty St. resident, stated that he is an RV owner and the new ordinance makes him feel like a criminal. He asked that a 24-hour grace period be granted to allow for the loading and unloading of these vehicles. The current ordinance says as soon as he pulls this up in front of his home, he is in violation and can get a ticket. He, too, feels that the un-amended ordinance was sufficient. He asked for a compromise in the new ordinance and work together as a community.
3. Mr. Don Clarke, Billman Pl. resident, voiced opposition to the amended parking ordinance. He feels it is making things difficult for residents and some driveways can't accommodate these types of vehicles. He said he has family and friends who have motor homes and will now have to tell them that they cannot come to Grove City because they cannot park here. He questioned the need for the passage of this ordinance as an emergency. He asked for the event(s) that happened; when a fire truck or emergency squad could not get through to someone's home; when the police couldn't get through; the number of accidents that have happened; as a result of recreational vehicles being parked on the street.

President Bennett voiced appreciation for everyone in attendance and voicing their opinions on this issue. He commented that generally, ordinances fit a particular situation and too strict for some situations and not strict enough for others. He shared that Council has received calls from other residents who are in favor of the new ordinance. He said there are those who have taken advantage of existing laws and it is unfortunate that those in attendance tonight, who are conscientious, are caught up in it. It was not the intent to make them criminals, but there are those who abuse the situation. He said Council would take their concerns under consideration.

Mr. Stage commented that the Administration is looking at an amendment to this that will allow for a limited number of hours, not days, for parking of such vehicles. He noted that there was no ordinance that allows people to live in a motor home or trailer on the street. However, it is the intention of the Police Chief and Administration to come back with some suggested changes. In the meantime, they are conducting passive enforcement.

**The Chair recognized members of Administration and Council for closing comments.**

1. Mayor Grossman submitted the Mayor's Monthly Report and Mr. Radi moved it be accepted; seconded by Mr. Fulton.


Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

She thanked the RV owners for attending and suggested they share their suggested changes with Council and Mr. Stage. She reported on upcoming events and thanked Mr. Stage for his efforts on the water & sewer contracts with Columbus.

2. Council thanked the Motor Home owners for sharing their concerns. Mr. Fulton asked Safety Director Kulp how the Homecoming Celebration went this year. Mr. Kulp said everything went just fine. Mr. Radi commented that Deed Restrictions may also dictate the parking of recreational vehicles in certain subdivisions and noted that these restrictions are not enforced by the City. President Bennett noted that the next meeting is 8/21 and the following meeting will be on Tuesday, 9/5/00, due to Labor Day. He is hopeful that amendments to the parking ordinance will be submitted for their September meeting.
3. After additional comments from Administration, the Chamber and Council, a motion was made to adjourn and seconded. Motion carried.

Council adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tami K. Kelly, CMC/A/E  
Clerk of Council

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven M. Bennett  
President